AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND GOVERNMENTAL POWERS

This course is rooted in the notion that the Supreme Court is one of the chief actors in our system of national policy-making. As Justice

Frankfurter once wrote, "the question is not whether judges make law, but when and how and how much." Judicial law-making is nothing unusual; it stems in the main from the Court's power to decide particular cases. For evidence of the profound impact of the Supreme Court and judicial law-making in action in our daily lives, we need go no further than the front pages of the *Columbus Dispatch*, *The New York Times*, or the evening news.

Constitutional law spans a wide variety of topics. Most of us think of the Court in terms of its actions in the areas of civil rights and liberties--often the most controversial and visible facets of its business. Yet, before one can understand conflicts between the individual and government, one needs to have a thorough knowledge of the powers of government and issues such as the separation of powers, division of powers, and federalism. Here we focus on the limits on and sources of governmental powers under the federal constitution. We consider judicial review, presidential powers, legislative authority, federalism, interstate commerce, and the police powers of the states. Throughout our discussion, we should keep in our sights: how has the Court shaped the nature, quality, and scope of governmental action in our society?

Lee Epstein and Thomas G. Walker's *Constitutional Law for a Changing America: Institutional Powers and Constraints*, CQ Press, 2004, Fifth edition, serves as the casebook. We have placed an exclusive order for this book at SBX. Since all of the reading for this course comes out of this book, you should purchase it as soon as possible. Since we often refer to the casebook in class, you will want to bring *Constitutional Law* with you every day. For class in the first week, you should begin reading right away.

You have several responsibilities. First, you should read and re-read the assignments on time and with care. Second, you should attend lectures. We will take attendance at various junctures and award points for your presence; and, of course, you are well-advised in any event to attend regularly. Third, you should participate in class, either voluntarily or when I call on you. Last, you must take all of the examinations.

There are easy courses on campus; this is not one of them. This is not

a course for those taking 20 hours or those who for whatever reason cannot attend consistently to the reading and come to class. We require a lot of challenging reading material and three difficult examinations.

Your grade for the course will be based on one mid-course examination and a final examination, and attendance and participation. We will administer the mid-term on February 6^{th} , in class; the final examination, March 15^{th} , at 9.30 a.m. You can earn a maximum of 100 points, distributed as follows:

Attendance	10
Mid-term Exam	40
Final Exam	50

You must complete all of the examinations. Failure to do so will result in a failure in the course.

This course, like all courses at Ohio State University, requires strict observance of the rules against plagiarism.

We strive to provide equal access to this course for all students. To that end, we will work with students who need to have information on various media--e.g., tape-recordings, braille, etc.--and who need to take examinations under somewhat different circumstances. Please let us know as soon as possible about your needs.

You will run into strange words from time to time in this course. Our casebook has a glossary of terms. For questions about legal vocabulary not in the glossary, consult a legal dictionary, of which *Black's Legal Dictionary* is the standard. With Google and other search programs these days, of course, all you need do is to enter a term and definition and you will see a definition. Edward S. Corwin's *The Constitution and What It Means Today* provides a detailed analysis of constitutional development; you may find it useful as you read the casebook

The readings are formidable, so you will need to apply all of your intellectual powers. Even if we dawdle on a set of cases or ideas, you should continue at the pace set out in the schedule. You should keep on top of the reading, because this is not the sort of thing you can read and digest the evening before an examination. Now, as you go through the cases, some of you will naturally underline or highlight the most important passages. But we also commend the practice of briefing each case. You will have an easier time in preparing for examinations if you have condensed the facts, issues, reasoning, and outcomes of the cases. SECTION I: THE CONSTITUTION, JUDICIAL REVIEW (two sessions)

Part 1. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION An Introduction to the U.S. Constitution, 3 The Road to the U.S. Constitution, 3 Underlying Principles of the Constitution, 7

1. Understanding the U.S. Supreme Court Processing Supreme Court Cases, 13 Supreme Court Decision Making: The Role of Law, 25 Supreme Court Decision Making: The Role of Politics, 37 Conducting Research on the Supreme Court, 48

Part 2. INSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY Structuring the Federal System, 57 The Origins of the Separation of Powers/Checks and Balances System, 57 Separation of Powers and the Constitution, 59 Contemporary Thinking on the Constitutional Scheme: Separation of Powers Games, 60

2. The Judiciary, 63 Establishment of the Federal Judiciary, 64 Judicial Review, 68

SECTION II: JUDICIAL REVIEW (two sessions)

Marbury v. Madison (1803), 69 Martin v. Hunter's Lessee (1816), 79 Eakin v. Raub (1825), 90 Constraints on Judicial Power: Article III, 94 Ex parte McCardle (1869), 95 Baker v. Carr (1962), 102 Nixon v. United States (1993), 108 Flast v. Cohen (1968), 113 Constraints on Judicial Power: The Separation of Powers/Checks and Balances System, 119

SECTION III: LEGISLATIVE POWER (two sessions)

3. The Legislature Article I: Historical Overview, 123 Congressional Authority over Internal Affairs: Institutional Independence and Integrity, 127 Powell v. McCormack (1969), 130 U.S. Term Limits, Inc. v. Thornton (1995), 136 Gravel v. United States (1972), 144

McCulloch v. Maryland (1819), 151 McGrain v. Daugherty (1927), 159 Watkins v. United States (1957), 164 Barenblatt v. United States (1959), 168 United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp. (1936), 176 South Carolina v. Katzenbach (1966), 187

SECTION IV: EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY (three sessions)

4. The Executive Article II: Basic Considerations, 187 Bush v. Gore (2000), 190 The Faithful Execution of the Laws: Defining the Contours of Presidential Power, 204 In re Neagle (1890), 205 Domestic Powers of the President, 211 Clinton v. City of New York (1998), 212 Morrison v. Olson (1988), 217 Myers v. United States (1926), 217 Humphrey's Executor v. United States (1935), 231 United States v. Nixon (1974), 235 Mississippi v. Johnson (1867), 240 Nixon v. Fitzgerald (1982), 243 Clinton v. Jones (1997), 248 Ex parte Grossman (1925), 254 Murphy v. Ford (1975), 256 The President and Foreign Policy, 258 United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp. (1936), 259

SECTION V: THE SEPARATION OF POWERS (two sessions)

5. The Separation of Powers System in Action Domestic Powers, 263 Mistretta v. United States (1989), 268 Immigration and Naturalization Service v.Chadha (1983), 273 Bowsher v. Synar (1986), 277 Presidential Power during War and National Emergencies, 282 The Prize Cases (1863), 286 Ex parte Milligan (1866), 288 Ex parte Quirin (1942), 296 Korematsu v. United States (1944), 301 Youngstown Sheet & Tube Company v. Sawyer (1952), 307 Dames & Moore v. Regan (1981), 312

SECTION VI: FEDERALISM (two sessions)

Part 3. NATION-STATE RELATIONS, 319 Allocating Government Power, 319

The Framers and Federalism, 320 The Tenth and Eleventh Amendments , 321

6. Federalism Nation-State Relations: The Doctrinal Cycle, 325 McCulloch v. Maryland (1819), 327 Scott v. Sandford (1857), 334 Hammer v. Dagenhart (1918), 341 United States v. Darby Lumber (1941), 345 National League of Cities v. Usery (1976), 347 Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority (1985), 353 New York v. United States (1992), 359 Printz v. United States (1997), 364

SECTION VII: FEDERALISM, COMMERCE POWER (two sessions)

The Eleventh Amendment, 370 Alden v. Maine (1999), 372 New Judicial Federalism, 380 Michigan v. Long (1983), 382 National Preemption of State Laws, 388 State of Missouri v. Holland (1920), 389 Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council (2000), 392 Pennsylvania v. Nelson (1956), 398 Pacific Gas and Electric Company v. State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (1983), 401 Granholm v. Heald (2005). See on CQ website

7. The Commerce Power Constitutional Foundations of the Commerce Power, 406 Gibbons v. Ogden (1824), 408 Defining Interstate Commerce, 412 United States v. E. C. Knight Co. (1895), 414 Stafford v. Wallace (1922), 418 The Supreme Court and the New Deal, 420 A. L. A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States (1935), 425 Carter v. Carter Coal Company (1936), 430

SECTION VIII: THE COMMERCE CLAUSE (two sessions)

National Labor Relations Board v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corporation (1937), 435 Wickard v. Filburn (1942), 443 United States v. Lopez (1995), 447 United States v. Morrison (2000), 453 Gonzalez v. Raich (2005). See on CQ website Regulating Commerce as a Federal Police Power, 458 Champion v. Ames (1903), 460

Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States (1964), 464 The Commerce Power of the States, 466 Cooley v. Board of Wardens (1852), 468 Southern Pacific Company v. Arizona (1945), 473 Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission (1977), 476 Maine v. Taylor (1986), 479

SECTION IX: ECONOMIC SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS (one session)

10. Economic Substantive Due Process, 576
The Development of Substantive Due Process, 579
The Slaughterhouse Cases (1873), 579
Munn v. Illinois (1877), 586
The Roller Coaster Ride of Substantive Due Process: 1898–1923, 594
Lochner v. New York (1905), 595
The Heyday of Substantive Due Process: 1923–1936, 606
Adkins v. Children's Hospital (1923), 607
The Depression, the New Deal, and the Decline of Substantive Due Process, 609
Nebbia v. New York (1934), 610
West Coast Hotel v. Parrish (1937), 615
Williamson v. Lee Optical Company (1955), 619
The Contemporary Relevance of Substantive Due Process, 620
BMW of North America v. Gore (1996), 620